

Agile Artifact Review Workshop

A Winsor Brown
AWBrown@CSE.USC.edu

CS577a
November 3, 2006

Process Definition Reminder

Done by IIV&Vers on a per artifact basis in 577a/b

A slightly formal (it captures data on defects) of an informal "pass-around" peer review

- IIV&Vers fill out the "Concern (Issues) Log" of the "Agile Artifact Review Forms" and sends to Author
- LATER,
Author responds by completing the "Defect/Issue Log"
- Author sends completed form to
 - IIV&Ver
 - QM Focal point (for defect data tracking)

Outline

What to Review

Review the Forms

Fill in Log: Input from IIV&Vers (only?)

What to Review

My presentation (just given):

**061103--QM-CM-PRsIII=ElaborationV0.doc
starting on page 10!**

**Done in class with campus audience generating
concerns/issues (acting like IIV&Vers).**

- Presentation will be "walked through" on monitor
- I will record Issues/Concerns and dispositions on active Forms as students identify them during "reading" of slides.
- When do we stop? (in 5-10 minutes)

Filled in form's content presented

Agile Artifact Review Forms

Concern (Issues) Log

Project Name:				Review #				
Artifact:				Review Date:				
Module:				Review Time:				
MBASE Phase/level:								
Activity:								
Exit Criteria:								
Reviewer:				Date Sent to Reviewer:				
Reviewer email:				Date Returned to Author:				
Reviewer phone:				Date Returned to QAT:				
Auhor:				Total Preparation Time:				
<p>Use this sheet to record the areas of concern that come up during your reading/review of the Artifact. Give the "location" information and the associated technical description of the area of concern to indicate to the developer/author during his/her analysis of this information about the relevant part of the Artifact. Give your opinion for the classification of the area of concern in M/W/E field. Write in letter each for Missing(M)/Worng(W)/Extra(E). Also, rank the priority and criticality in both field as High, Medium or Low.</p> <p>Keep this sheet with you during the analysis of the artifact. When an area of concern you recorded here requires corrective action and is placed on the artifact's Problem list, note the number of the Defect/Issue(s) in the Areas of Concern Log. Problems are things you believe the author of this artifact can/should fix; "open issues" are things which can not be corrected solely in this artifact or at this time.</p>								
#	Location(s)	Area of concern				M/W/E	Priority	Criticality

Agile Artifact Review Forms (cont.)

Defect/Issue Log

Project Name:	_____	Review #:	_____ - _____
Artifact:	_____	Date:	_____
Module:	_____	Activity:	_____
Type of review: [Indepent] Review	MBASE Phase/level:		Review Date(s):
No. of Priority: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	No. of Criticality: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	<input type="checkbox"/> No. of open issues	
Comments:			

Defects/Issues

D/I #	Location (s)	Description	Classification	Priority	Criticality	Activity of Defect Injection (Requirements, Design, Code, etc.)	Location of correction(s)	Date of fix	Comments
			<input type="checkbox"/> Missing <input type="checkbox"/> Wrong <input type="checkbox"/> Extra <input type="checkbox"/> Open issue	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low				
			<input type="checkbox"/> Missing <input type="checkbox"/> Wrong <input type="checkbox"/> Extra <input type="checkbox"/> Open issue	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low				