Process

**Good**: Regular internal team meetings with the client.

**Bad**: At times, due to conflicting schedules, the synchronization between the team members is affected.

**Try**: We can start using git for code synchronization and version control. Also, maintain a spreadsheet to track daily progress or one sprint after every three days for 15 mins to check everyone's update on their assigned tasks.

People

**Good**: The team members are technically adaptable and quick learners. They are coherent while making decisions and creative at asking doubts, working throughout the weekdays and weekends though we have different schedules and time zones.

**Bad**: As we reached a mutual consensus on the technology stack we plan to use for development, most of the team is not familiar with Django, and learning it slowed down the pace of overall development.

**Try**: Team members who are already familiar with the technology stack can point other members to appropriate resources, documentation, and conduct quick demos to help others pick up quickly.

Product

**Good**: The good thing about the product is the decision to shift to Django for building it. Customizable, easy to implement, and also has vast support online.

**Bad**: Choice of the infeasible stack, in the beginning, killed time and involved unnecessary effort.

**Try**: Complete the development of the essential features first and do black box and white box testing on them. Then move to the less essential ones.